ACTION ITEM #5
Amendments to WAC 504-26 – Standards of Conduct for Students
(Melynda Huskey)

TO ALL MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF REGENTS

SUBJECT: WAC Revisions: Standards of Conduct for Students (WAC 504-26)

PROPOSED: That the Board of Regents review amendments and changes to the Washington Administrative Code (WAC), Section 504-26

SUBMITTED BY: Melynda Huskey, Interim Vice President for Student Affairs

SUPPORTING INFORMATION: These revision updates are intended to align the standards of conduct for students with best practices, to expand the composition of the university appeals board, allow students to remove a single disciplinary violation from their record in limited circumstances, and ensure that the standards of conduct are consistently applied and enforced.

504-26-001: Preamble
Deletes paragraph related to University commitment to core values, cultivating individual virtues, and the University’s authority to take disciplinary action for conduct on or off university property.

504-26-100: Composition of Conduct and Appeals Boards
Updates composition of conduct and appeals boards from “any category of university employee” adding “including affiliate faculty and staff”.

504-26-200: Jurisdiction of the Standards of Conduct for Students
Adds information that these standards are incorporated into Washington State University’s executive policy 15, which prohibits discrimination, sexual harassment, and sexual misconduct.

504-26-212: Alcohol
Changes wording from “under twenty-one years of age” to “not of legal age”
504-26-304: Recognized Student Organization Conduct
Removes “issued by the office of student standards and accountability”.

504-26-401: Complaints and Student Conduct Process
Removes “any complaint is to be submitted as soon as possible after the event takes place, preferably within thirty days”.

504-26-403: Conduct Board Proceedings
Adds that witnesses not only provide information and answer questions from the university conduct board but also “the complainant, and the accused student, as appropriate”.
Changes wording from “admissibility of evidence” to “admissibility of information”.
Adds that the conduct officer is also able to accept information for consideration along with the conduct board chair.
Adds that after the board determines responsibility, they vote on “what sanctions, if any, are appropriate”.
Removes duplicate wording, “brought against the accused”.

504-26-405: Sanctions
Changes wording from “prior to graduation” to “before awarding of the degree”.
Changes “student conduct officer” to “conduct officer”.

504-26-407: Review of Decision
Changes “student conduct officer” to “conduct officer”.
Changes “university’s findings” to “findings”.
Changes wording from “supporting documents” to “the conduct file for conduct board decisions or the conduct file for conduct officer decisions”.

504-26-501: Records
Adds “a student may request removal from her or his record of a single disciplinary violation relating to the possession or use of alcohol and/or marijuana, and/or other violation of the university’s policies relating to alcohol and drugs. Granting such a request is discretionary, and the student must make such a request in accordance with university policies and procedures.”
WAC 504-26-001 Preamble. Washington State University, a community dedicated to the advancement of knowledge, expects all students to behave in a manner consistent with its high standards of scholarship and conduct. Students are expected to uphold and be accountable for these standards both on and off campus and acknowledge the university's authority to take disciplinary action. The purpose of these standards and processes is to educate students and protect the welfare of the university community.

Accordingly, the conduct process is nonadversarial, confidential except to the extent permitted by law and these standards of conduct (this chapter), and not to be considered analogous to court proceedings. Further, the conduct process is independent of any criminal or civil penalties. WSU permits students to have advisors in certain circumstances in the student conduct process, but the role of the advisor is very limited. Sanctions under these standards of conduct are intended to challenge students' moral and ethical decision making and help them bring their behavior into accord with university community expectations. When students are unable to conform their behavior to community expectations, the student conduct process may determine that they should no longer share in the privilege of participating in the university community.

((Washington State University is guided by a commitment to excellence embodied in a set of core values. The university aims to create an environment that cultivates individual virtues and institutional integrity in the community. The mission of the university is supported when students uphold and take responsibility for the full scope of these values. The university's core values are identified in its strategic plan. Under the terms of enrollment, students acknowledge the university's authority to take disciplinary action for conduct on or off university property that is detrimental to the university's core values. Students who violate the university standards of conduct are subject to discipline, which may include temporary or permanent removal from the university.))

WAC 504-26-100 Composition of conduct and appeals boards. (1) The university conduct board shall be composed of five individuals appointed by the vice-president for student affairs and comprised of students and persons who are any category of university employee, including affiliate faculty and staff. The chairperson of the conduct board shall be named by the vice-president for student affairs and shall be a university employee.

Any three persons constitute a quorum of a conduct board and may act, provided that at least one student and the chairperson are present.

(2) The appeals board shall be appointed by the vice-president for student affairs. It shall be composed of three persons, including the chair. The chair shall be a university employee. The other members
may be university employees, including affiliate faculty and staff, or students, provided that the student members have had at least one academic year of service on the university conduct board. Three persons constitute a quorum of the appeals board.

**AMENDATORY SECTION** (Amending WSR 15-01-080, filed 12/15/14, effective 1/15/15)

**WAC 504-26-200 Jurisdiction of the standards of conduct for students.** The standards of conduct for students shall apply to conduct that occurs on university premises, at university sponsored activities, and to off-campus conduct that adversely affects the university community and/or the pursuit of its objectives. These standards of conduct may be applied to behavior conducted online, via electronic mail or other electronic means.

Each student is responsible and accountable for his/her conduct from the time of application for admission through the actual awarding of a degree, even though conduct may occur before classes begin or after classes end, as well as during the academic year and during periods between terms of actual enrollment. These standards shall apply to a student's conduct even if the student withdraws from school, takes a leave of absence, or graduates while a disciplinary matter or investigation is pending. Definitions from these standards are incorporated into Washington State University's executive policy 15, which prohibits discrimination, sexual harassment, and sexual misconduct. The university has sole discretion to determine what conduct occurring off campus adversely impacts the university community and/or the pursuit of university objectives.

**AMENDATORY SECTION** (Amending WSR 15-01-080, filed 12/15/14, effective 1/15/15)

**WAC 504-26-212 Alcohol.** Use, possession, manufacture, or distribution of alcoholic beverages (except as expressly permitted by university regulations, and federal, state, and local laws), or public intoxication. Alcoholic beverages may not, in any circumstance, be used by, possessed by, or distributed to any person (under twenty-one years) not of legal age.

**AMENDATORY SECTION** (Amending WSR 15-01-080, filed 12/15/14, effective 1/15/15)

**WAC 504-26-304 Recognized student organization conduct.** Sororities, fraternities, and recognized student organizations shall comply with the standards of conduct for students and with university policies. When a member or members of a recognized student organization violates the standards of conduct for students, the recognized student organization and/or individual members may be subject to appropriate
sanctions authorized by these standards in accordance with the university's group accountability guidelines (issued by the office of student standards and accountability).

AMENDATORY SECTION (Amending WSR 15-01-080, filed 12/15/14, effective 1/15/15)

WAC 504-26-401 Complaints and student conduct process. (1) Any member of the university community may file a complaint against a student for violations of the standards of conduct for students. (Any complaint is to be submitted as soon as possible after the event takes place, preferably within thirty days.)

(2) A student conduct officer, or designee, may review and investigate any complaint to determine whether it appears to state a violation of the standards of conduct for students. If a conduct officer determines that a complaint appears to state a violation of the standards of conduct, she or he considers whether the matter might be resolved through agreement with the accused or through alternative dispute resolution proceedings involving the complainant and the accused. The complainant and the accused are informed of university options for alternative dispute resolution and may request that the matter be addressed using alternative dispute resolution techniques. Generally, the accused and complainant must agree to the use of alternative dispute resolution techniques. If the accused and the student conduct officer reach an agreed resolution of the complaint, the disposition is final; there is no right to appeal from an agreed disposition.

(3) If the conduct officer has determined that a complaint has merit and if the matter is not resolved through agreement or alternative dispute resolution, the matter is handled through either a conduct officer hearing or as a university conduct board hearing.

(a) When the allegation involves harm or threat of harm to any person or person's property and the accused disputes the facts and/or denies responsibility, the matter may be referred to the university conduct board for resolution.

(b) If the possible or recommended sanction is expulsion or suspension, the matter is referred to the university conduct board.

(c) Matters other than those listed in (a) and (b) of this subsection are heard by a conduct officer, unless the conduct officer exercises his or her discretion to refer the matter to a conduct board at any time before a decision is issued. A student may request that a conduct board hear the case, but the final decision to refer the matter to the university conduct board for hearing is made by the university conduct officer and such decision is not subject to appeal.

(4) The student conduct officer provides complainants who have been targets of alleged misconduct or who feel victimized thereby with names of university and community advocates or resources who may be able to help the complainant address his or her concerns about the behaviors and provide support to the complainant throughout the conduct process. Upon request, a university advisor from the office of the dean of students is available to the complainant and the accused student to assist in understanding the student conduct process. Due to federal privacy law, the university may not disclose to the complainant any sanctions taken against the accused student, unless the complainant was the victim of a violent crime for which the accused was
found responsible as defined under the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) (20 U.S.C. Sec. 1232g; 34 C.F.R. Part 99), or the accused student consents to such disclosure.

(5) All notifications and service under this chapter are delivered either by electronic mail or other electronic means, delivered personally, or sent via regular U.S. mail. Notifications sent via regular U.S. mail are sent to the party's last known address or the address on file with the university registrar. The student or recognized student organization is responsible for maintaining an updated mailing address on file with the registrar. Deadlines described in this chapter begin the date the notification is sent via electronic means, personally delivered, or placed in regular U.S. mail.

(6) Throughout the conduct process, the complainant and the accused student have the right to be assisted by an advisor they choose, at their own expense. Upon request, a university advisor from the office of the dean of students is available to the complainant and the accused student to assist in understanding the student conduct process. The complainant and/or the accused student is responsible for presenting his or her own information, and therefore, during the hearing, advisors are not permitted to address the board, witnesses, conduct officers or any party or representatives invited by the parties to the hearing, nor to participate directly in any university conduct board hearing, conduct officer hearing, or other aspect of the conduct process. An advisor may communicate with the accused and recesses may be allowed for this purpose. A student should select as an advisor a person whose schedule allows attendance at the scheduled date and time for the scheduled meeting or hearing. The scheduling conflicts of an advisor are not considered good cause for a delay and do not entitle either party to a delay.

(7) The conduct officer or university conduct board's determinations are made on the basis of a "preponderance of the evidence," that is, whether it is more likely than not that the accused student violated the standards of conduct for students.

(8) Formal rules of process, procedure, and/or technical rules of evidence, such as are applied in criminal or civil court, are not used in conduct proceedings. Relevant evidence, including hearsay, is admissible if it is the type of evidence that reasonable members of the university community would rely upon in the conduct of their affairs. The chair of the university conduct board and/or the conduct officer shall have the discretion to determine admissibility of evidence.

AMENDATORY SECTION (Amending WSR 15-01-080, filed 12/15/14, effective 1/15/15)

WAC 504-26-403 Conduct board proceedings. (1) Any student charged by a conduct officer with a violation of any provision of the standards of conduct for students that is to be heard by a conduct board is provided notice as described in WAC 504-26-401(5).

(2) The written notice shall be completed by the conduct officer and shall include:

(a) The specific complaint, including the university policy or regulations allegedly violated;

(b) The approximate time and place of the alleged act that forms the factual basis for the charge of violation;
(c) The time, date, and place of the hearing;
(d) A list of the witnesses who may be called to testify, to the extent known;
(e) A description of all documentary and real evidence to be used at the hearing, to the extent known, including a statement that the student shall have the right to inspect his or her student conduct file.

(3) Time for hearings.
(a) The conduct board hearing is scheduled not less than seven days after the student has been sent notice of the hearing, except in the case of interim suspensions as set forth in WAC 504-26-406.
(b) Requests to extend the time and/or date for hearing must be addressed to the chair of the university conduct board, and must be copied to the office of student standards and accountability. A request for extension of time is granted only upon a showing of good cause.

(4) University conduct board hearings are conducted by a university conduct board. A goal of the hearing is to have an educational tone and to avoid creation of an unduly adversarial environment. The hearings are conducted according to the following guidelines, except as provided by subsection (6) of this section:
   (a) Procedures:
      (i) University conduct board hearings are conducted in private.
      (ii) The complainant, accused student, and his or her advisor, if any, are allowed to attend the entire portion of the university conduct board hearing at which information is received (excluding deliberations). Admission of any other person to the university conduct board hearing is at the discretion of the university conduct board chair and/or the student conduct officer.
      (iii) In university conduct board hearings involving more than one accused student, the student conduct officer, at his or her discretion, may permit joint or separate hearings.
      (iv) In university conduct board hearings involving graduate students, board memberships are comprised to include graduate students and graduate teaching faculty to the extent possible.
      (v) The complainant, the accused student, and the student conduct officer may arrange for witnesses to present pertinent information to the university conduct board. The conduct officer tries to arrange the attendance of possible witnesses who are identified by the complainant. Complainant witnesses must provide written statements to the conduct officer at least two weekdays prior to the hearing. Witnesses identified by the accused student must provide written statements to the conduct officer at least two weekdays prior to the conduct hearing. The accused student is responsible for informing his or her witnesses of the time and place of the hearing. Witnesses provide information to and answer questions from the university conduct board, the complainant, and the accused student, as appropriate. Questions may be suggested by the accused student and/or complainant to be answered by each other or by other witnesses. Written questions are directed to the conduct board chair, rather than to the witness directly. This method is used to preserve the educational tone of the hearing and to avoid creation of an unduly adversarial environment, and to allow the board chair to determine the relevancy of questions. Questions concerning whether potential information may be received are resolved at the discretion of the chair of the university conduct board. The chair of the university conduct board shall have the discretion to determine admissibility of information.
Pertinent records, exhibits, and written statements (including student impact statements) may be accepted as information for consideration by a university conduct board at the discretion of the chair and/or conduct officer.

Questions related to the order of the proceedings are subject to the final decision of the chair of the university conduct board.

After the portion of the university conduct board hearing concludes in which all pertinent information is received, the university conduct board shall determine (by majority vote) whether the accused student has violated each section of the standards of conduct for students as charged and what sanctions, if any, are appropriate.

If the accused student is found responsible for any of the charges (brought against the accused), the board may, at that time, consider the student's past contacts with the office of student standards and accountability in determining an appropriate sanction.

The accused student or recognized student organization is notified of the conduct board's decision within ten calendar days from the date the matter is heard. The accused student or recognized student organization shall receive written notice of the decision, the reasons for the decision (both the factual basis therefore and the conclusions as to how those facts apply to the standards of conduct for students), the sanction, notice that the order will become final unless internal appeal is filed within twenty-one days of the date the letter was personally delivered, deposited in the U.S. mail, or electronically mailed, and a statement of how to file an appeal.

(i) The written decision is the university's initial order.

(ii) If the student or recognized student organization does not appeal the conduct board's decision before twenty-one calendar days from the date of the decision letter, it becomes the university's final order.

(5) There is a single verbatim record, such as an audio record, of all university conduct board hearings (not including deliberations). Deliberations are not recorded. The record is the property of the university.

(6) If an accused student to whom notice of the hearing has been sent (in the manner provided above) does not appear before a university conduct board hearing, the information in support of the complaint is presented and considered in his or her absence, and the board may issue a decision based upon that information.

(7) The university conduct board may for convenience or to accommodate concerns for the personal safety, well-being, and/or fears of confrontation of the complainant, accused student, and/or other witnesses during the hearing provide separate facilities, and/or permit participation by telephone, audio tape, written statement, or other means, as determined in the sole judgment of the vice-president for student affairs or designee to be appropriate.

AMENDATORY SECTION (Amending WSR 15-01-080, filed 12/15/14, effective 1/15/15)

WAC 504-26-405 Sanctions. (1) The following sanctions may be imposed upon any student found to have violated the standards of conduct for students:
(a) Warning. A notice in writing to the student that the student is violating or has violated institutional regulations.

(b) Probation. Formal action placing conditions upon the student's continued attendance at the university. Probation is for a designated period of time and warns the student or recognized student organization that suspension, expulsion, loss of recognition, or any other sanction outlined in this section may be imposed if the student is found to violate any institutional regulation(s) or fails to complete his or her conditions of probation during the probationary period. A student on probation is not eligible to run for or hold an office in any recognized student group or organization; she or he is not eligible for certain jobs on campus, including but not limited to resident advisor or orientation counselor; and she or he is not eligible to serve on the university conduct or appeals board.

(c) Loss of privileges. Denial of specified privileges for a designated period of time.

(d) Restitution. Compensation for loss, damage, or injury. This may take the form of appropriate service and/or monetary or material replacement.

(e) Education. The university may require the student to successfully complete an educational project designed to create an awareness of the student's misconduct.

(f) Community service. Imposition of service hours (not to exceed eighty hours per student or per member of a recognized student organization).

(g) Residence hall suspension. Separation of the student from a residence hall or halls for a definite period of time, after which the student may be eligible to return. Conditions for readmission may be specified.

(h) Residence hall expulsion. Permanent separation of the student from a residence hall or halls.

(i) University suspension. Separation of the student from the university for a definite period of time, after which the student is eligible to request readmission. Conditions for readmission may be specified.

(j) University expulsion. Permanent separation of the student from the university. Also referred to as university dismissal. The terms are used interchangeably throughout this chapter.

(k) Revocation of admission and/or degree. Admission to or a degree awarded from the university may be revoked for fraud, misrepresentation, or other violation of law or university standards in obtaining the degree, or for other serious violations committed by a student (prior to graduation) before awarding of the degree.

(l) Withholding degree. The university may withhold awarding a degree otherwise earned until the completion of the process set forth in this standards of conduct for students, including the completion of all sanctions imposed, if any.

(m) Trespass. A student may be restricted from any or all university premises based on his or her misconduct.

(n) Loss of recognition. A recognized student organization's recognition may be withheld permanently or for a specific period of time. A fraternity or sorority may be prohibited from housing freshmen. Loss of recognition is defined as withholding university services, privileges, or administrative approval from a student organization. Services, privileges, and approval to be withdrawn include, but are not limited to, intramural sports (although individual members may participate), information technology services, university facility use and
rental, campus involvement office organizational activities, and office of Greek life advising.

(o) Hold on transcript and/or registration. A hold restricts release of a student's transcript or access to registration until satisfactory completion of conditions or sanctions imposed by a (student) conduct officer or university conduct board. Upon proof of satisfactory completion of the conditions or sanctions, the hold is released.

(p) No contact order. A prohibition of direct or indirect physical, verbal, and/or written contact with another individual or group.

(q) Fines. Previously established and published fines may be imposed. Fines are established each year prior to the beginning of the academic year and are approved by the vice-president for student affairs.

(2) More than one of the sanctions listed above may be imposed for any single violation.

(3)(a) In determining an appropriate sanction, the conduct officer or relevant board may consider any record of past contacts with the office of student standards and accountability, and the nature and severity of such past contact(s).

(b) The conduct board and/or appeals board may consider suspending or expelling any student found responsible for violating the university's sexual misconduct code (WAC 504-26-221).

(4) Other than university expulsion or revocation or withholding of a degree, disciplinary sanctions are not made part of the student's permanent academic record, but shall become part of the student's disciplinary record.

(5) In cases heard by university conduct boards, sanctions are determined by that board. The student conduct officer has the authority to assign sanctions in any conduct officer hearing.

(6) Academic integrity violations.

No credit need be given for work that is not a student's own. Thus, in academic integrity violations, the responsible instructor has the authority to assign a grade and/or educational sanction in accordance with the expectations set forth in the relevant course syllabus. The instructor's choices may include, but are not limited to, assigning a grade of "F" for the assignment and/or assigning an educational sanction such as extra or replacement assignments, quizzes, or tests, or assigning a grade of "F" for the course.

AMENDATORY SECTION (Amending WSR 15-01-080, filed 12/15/14, effective 1/15/15)

WAC 504-26-407 Review of decision. (1) The findings and sanctions rendered by the university conduct board or a (student) conduct officer may be appealed by the complainant and accused student(s) in the manner prescribed in the decision letter containing the (university's) findings and sanctions. Such appeal must be made before twenty-one days of the date of the decision letter. The director of student standards and accountability provides a copy of the appeal request by one party to the other party (parties) as appropriate.

(a) The university president or designee, of his or her own initiative, may direct that an appeals board be convened to review a conduct board or conduct officer decision without notice to the parties. However, the appeals board may not take any action less favorable to
the accused student(s), unless notice and an opportunity to explain
the matter is first given to the accused student(s).

(b) If the complainant or accused student and/or the student con-
duct officer or designee wish to explain their views of the matter to
the appeals board they shall be given an opportunity to do so in writ-
ing.

(c) The appeals board shall make any inquiries necessary to as-
certain whether the proceeding must be converted to a formal adjudica-
tive hearing under the Administrative Procedure Act (chapter 34.05
RCW).

(2) Except as required to explain the basis of new information,
an appeal is limited to a review of the verbatim record of the univer-
sity conduct board hearing and ((supporting documents)) the conduct
file for conduct board decisions or the conduct file for conduct offi-
cer decisions for one or more of the following purposes:
   (a) To determine whether the university conduct board hearing was
      conducted fairly in light of the charges and information presented,
      and in conformity with prescribed procedures giving the complaining
      party a reasonable opportunity to prepare and to present information
      that the standards of conduct for students were violated, and giving
      the accused student a reasonable opportunity to prepare and to present
      a response to those allegations. Deviations from designated procedures
      are not a basis for sustaining an appeal unless significant prejudice
      results.
   (b) To determine whether the decision reached regarding the ac-
cused student was based on substantial information, that is, whether
      there were facts in the case that, if believed by the fact finder,
      were sufficient to establish that a violation of the standards of con-
duct for students occurred.
   (c) To determine whether the sanction(s) imposed were appropriate
      for the violation of the standards of conduct for students which the
      student was found to have committed.
   (d) To consider new information, sufficient to alter a decision,
or other relevant facts not brought out in the original hearing, be-
cause such information and/or facts were not known to the person ap-
pealing at the time of the original university conduct board hearing.

(3) The university appeals board shall review the record and all
information provided by the parties and take one of the following ac-
tions:
   (a) Affirm, reverse, or modify the conduct board's or conduct of-
       ficer's decision;
   (b) Affirm, reverse, or modify the sanctions imposed by the con-
duct board or conduct officer;
   (c) Set aside the findings and sanctions or remand the matter
       back to the conduct board or conduct officer with instructions for
       further proceedings.

(4) The appeals board's decision shall be personally delivered,
sent via regular U.S. mail, or electronically mailed to the student. Such
decision shall be delivered or mailed to the last known address
of the accused student(s) or electronically mailed to the student's
official university electronic mail account. It is the student's re-
sponsibility to maintain a correct and updated address with the regis-
trar. The university appeals board's decision letter is the final or-
der and shall advise the student or recognized student organization
that judicial review may be available. If the appeals board does not
provide the student with a response within twenty days after the re-
quest for appeal is received, the request for appeal is deemed denied.
The appeals board decision is effective as soon as the order is signed, except in cases involving expulsion or loss of recognition. In cases involving expulsion or loss of recognition, the appeals board decision is effective ten calendar days from the date the order is signed, unless the university president or designee provides written notice of additional review as provided in subsection (6) of this section.

For cases involving expulsion or loss of recognition, the university president or designee may review a decision of the appeals board by providing written notice to the student or recognized student organization no later than ten calendar days from the date the appeals board decision is signed.

(a) This review is limited to the record and purposes stated in subsection (2) of this section.

(b) Prior to issuing a decision, the president or designee shall make any inquiries necessary to determine whether the proceeding should be converted into a formal adjudicative hearing under the Administrative Procedure Act (chapter 34.05 RCW).

(c) If the complainant or accused student and/or the student conduct officer or designee wish to explain their views of the matter to the president or designee, they shall do so in writing.

(d) The president or designee's decision is in writing, includes a brief statement of the reasons for the decision, and is issued within twenty calendar days after the date of the appeals board order. The decision becomes effective as soon as it is signed and includes a notice that judicial review may be available.

Students may petition to delay the date that the final order of the university becomes effective by directing a petition to the chair of the appeals board, or the president or designee, as applicable, within ten calendar days of the date the order was personally delivered to the student or placed in the regular U.S. mail, or electronically mailed. The chair, or the president or designee, as applicable, shall have authority to decide whether to grant or deny the request.

There is no further review beyond that of the findings of responsibility or outcomes assigned by university or college academic integrity hearing boards.

AMENDATORY SECTION (Amending WSR 15-01-080, filed 12/15/14, effective 1/15/15)

WAC 504-26-501 Records. (1) Standards of conduct for students records are maintained in accordance with the university's records retention schedule.

(2) The disciplinary record is confidential, and is released only as authorized under the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) (20 U.S.C. Sec. 1232g; 34 C.F.R. Part 99) and the university policy on student educational records (chapter 504-21 WAC).

(3) A student may request a copy of his or her own disciplinary record at his or her own reasonable expense by making a written request to the office of student standards and accountability.

(4) Personally identifiable student information is redacted to protect other students privacy.
(5) A student may authorize release of his or her own disciplinary record to a third party in compliance with FERPA (20 U.S.C. Sec. 1232g; 34 C.F.R. Part 99) by making a written request to the office of student standards and accountability.

(6) The university may inform the complainant of the outcome of any disciplinary proceeding involving a crime of violence as defined by FERPA (20 U.S.C. Sec. 1232g; 34 C.F.R. Part 99).

(7) The university informs the complainant of the outcome of any disciplinary proceeding alleging sexual misconduct. (34 C.F.R. 668.46(b)(ii)(vi)(B)).

(8) The university may not communicate a student's disciplinary record to any person or agency outside the university without the prior written consent of the student, except as required or permitted by law. Exceptions include but are not limited to:

(a) The student's parents or legal guardians may review these records if the student is a minor or a dependent for tax purposes as defined by FERPA (20 U.S.C. Sec. 1232g; 34 C.F.R. Part 99).

(b) Release to another educational institution, upon request, where the student seeks or intends to enroll, as allowed by FERPA (20 U.S.C. Sec. 1232g; 34 C.F.R. Part 99).

(9) A student may request removal from her or his record of a single disciplinary violation relating to the possession or use of alcohol and/or marijuana, and/or other violation of the university's policies relating to alcohol and drugs. Granting such a request is discretionary, and the student must make such a request in accordance with university policies and procedures.